

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address:

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. D 01222.0034 08/544,212 10/17/95 **RUSSO EXAMINER** IM22/1018 FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW BRUNSMAN, D PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT GARRETT AND DUNNER 1300 I STREET NW WASHINGTON DC 20005 1755 **DATE MAILED:** 10/18/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Abandonment	08/544,212	RUSSO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David M Brunsman	1755	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			Idress
This application is abandoned in view of: I. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Offic (a) ☑ A reply was received on 15 August 2001 (with a Cert expiration of the period for reply (including a total exte	ificate of Mailing or Transmission dat ension of time of month(s)) w	hich expired on <u>01 /</u>	<u>//ay 2001</u> .
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does			
(A proper reply under 37 CRF 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37	d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);	mendment which pl or (3) a timely filed	aces the Request for
(c) ☐ No reply has been received.			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee an from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL- 	85).		
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, wa	is received on (with a Certific period for payment of the issue fee (a	cate of Mailing or To and publication fee) s	ransmission dated set in the Notice of
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$			
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n	not been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). 			
 (a) ☐ Proposed new formal drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply.), which is
(b) The proposed new formal drawings filed on are not acceptable and the period for reply has expired.			
(c) ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	ne attorney or agent of record, the as	signee of the entire	interest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by a 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	n attorney or agent (acting in a repre	sentative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 	rence rendered on and becau ims.	ise the period for se	eking court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:			
See attachment.			
		Mm	
		David M Brunsr	
		Primary Examin Art Unit: 1755	er
	·	/ ii C C I III . 1 / 0 0	

Application/Control Number: 08/544,212

Art Unit: 1755

The response filed 15 August 2001 is not timely. In response to the prior response filed 09 May 2001, deemed to be non-responsive, applicant was given a one month time period to supply the omission or correction needed to avoid abandonment. While extensions of the time period are available under 37 C.F.R. 1.136(a), the period for response may not be extended past the statutory period in force. See the regulation reproduced below.

§ 1.136 Extensions of time.

(a)

- (1) If an applicant is required to reply within a nonstatutory or shortened statutory time period, applicant may extend the time period for reply up to the earlier of the expiration of any maximum period set by statute or five months after the time period set for reply, if a petition for an extension of time and the fee set in § 1.17(a) are filed, unless:
 - (i) Applicant is notified otherwise in an Office action,
 - (ii) The reply is a reply brief submitted pursuant to § 1.193(b);
 - (iii) The reply is a request for an oral hearing submitted pursuant to § 1.194(b);
 - (iv) The reply is to a decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences pursuant to § 1.196, § 1.197 or § 1.304; or
 - (v) The application is involved in an interference declared pursuant to § 1.611.

The outstanding rejection in the application was mailed 31 October 2000. The shortened statutory period of three months was extendable to a maximum of 6 months, 01 May 2001.

DAVID BRUNSMAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1100